Graduate Thesis Assessment Rubric (Methodology, Linguistics) Department of English, Faculty of Education, University of West Bohemia

Thesis Author:

Hana Braunová

Title:

Ways of presenting new vocabulary to the upper-primary learners

Wy

Length:

57

Text Length:

47

Assessment Criteria		Scale	Comments	
1.	Introduction is well written, brief,	Outstanding	A clear well-written chapter. The	
	interesting, and compelling. It	Very good	research questions are stated and the	
	motivates the work and provides a	Acceptable	whole work is properly introduced.	
	clear statement of the problem. It	Somewhat deficient		
	places the problem in context. It	Very deficient		
	presents and overview of the thesis.			
	processing and order trees or the threesing	,		
			·	
2.	Literature review is comprehensive and	Outstanding	The theoretical part is based on a	
	complete. It synthesizes a variety of	Very good	sufficient number of sources both	
	sources and provides context for the	Acceptable	general regarding teaching and more	
	research. It shows the author's	Somewhat deficient	specific involving teaching vocabulary.	
	understanding of the most relevant	Very deficient	The chapter is arranged very well and	
	literature on the subject matter.	very deficient	contains a large portion of useful	
	merature on the subject matter.		information. However, I cannot agree	
			with the introductory sentence (p.2):	
			"This chapter builds the theoretical part	
			of the following research and should	
			answer the research questions	
			mentioned above." The answers to the	
			research questions are to be found after the whole research and from the	
			·	
	. •		data collected in it (!). It is not the task	
	The seath and the state of the	0.4.1	of the theoretical chapter.	
3.	The methodology chapter provides	Outstanding	The chapter introduces the tools used	
	clear and thorough description of the	Very good	in the research briefly but sufficiently.	
	research methodology. It discusses	Acceptable		
	why and what methods were chosen	Somewhat deficient		
	for research. The research	Very deficient	,	
	methodology is appropriate for the			
	identified research questions.			
4.	The results/data are analyzed and	Outstanding	The author presents the individual	
	interpreted effectively. The chapter	Very good	questions of the questionnaire and	
	ties the theory with the findings. It	Acceptable	immediately comments on them. The	
	addresses the applications and	Somewhat deficient	commentary is completed by clear	
	implications of the research. It	Very deficient	charts, which have a unified form and	
	discusses strengths, weaknesses, and		are thus easily understandable to	
	limitations of the research.		follow. After each part the results are	
			successfully summarized and an	
			analysis is given.	

5.	The thesis shows critical and analytical thinking about the area of study and the author's expertise in this area.	Outstanding Very good Acceptable Somewhat deficient Very deficient	
6.	The text is organized in a logical manner. It flows naturally and is easy to follow. Transitions, summaries and conclusions exist as appropriate. The author demonstrates high quality writing skills and uses standard spelling, grammar, and punctuation.	Outstanding Very good Acceptable Somewhat deficient Very deficient	The organization of the thesis is clear and the language is grammatically as well as stylistically correct. There are occasional mistakes, which however seem to be rather typing errors than real mistakes (e.g.: p.1 "The thesis comprise of" - comprises)
7.	The thesis meets the general requirements (formatting, chapters, length, division into sections, etc.). References are cited properly within the text and a complete reference list is provided.	Outstanding Very good Acceptable Somewhat deficient Very deficient	Careful approach and very good overall impression.

Final Comments & Questions

It is an interesting piece of academic work, stylistically and linguistically on a high level, showing the author's real interest in the teaching profession.

The evaluation suggested: "excellent".

Supervisor/Reviewer: PhDr. Naděžda Stašková, PhD.

Date:

19.8. 2013

Signature: