# Refining Single View Calibration With the Aid of Metric Scene Properties

Manolis I.A. Lourakis

lourakis@ics.forth.gr

Antonis A. Argyros

argyros@ics.forth.gr

Institute of Computer Science Foundation for Research and Technology - Hellas Vassilika Vouton, P.O. Box 1385, GR 711 10 Heraklion, Crete, GREECE

# **Single View Calibration**

- Single View Calibration (SVC) is the process of estimating the intrinsic calibration parameters (i.e. interior orientation) of a camera using only one perspective image
- It is a fundamental problem that needs to be solved before any metric measurements can be made from an image
- It is a key ingredient for single view reconstruction (SVR), i.e. the task of creating 3D graphical models for scenes for which only a single image is available

### SVR example





Lourakis & Argyros

### Calibration Refinement

Background

Start

Introduction

(cont'd) • Previous Work

Single View CalibrationSingle View Calibration

What this work is about

Implementation

Experimental Results

Summar	J
--------	---

# Single View Calibration (cont'd)

Start

Introduction

- Single View Calibration
- Single View Calibration (cont'd)
- Previous Work
- What this work is about

Background

Calibration Refinement

Implementation

### Experimental Results

Summary

- In contrast to grid based calibration, SVC cannot rely on the knowledge of the 3D coordinates of certain points in a world reference frame; a self-calibration paradigm must be adopted
- SVC also cannot rely on geometric constraints that need multiple images to be computed (e.g., Kruppa equations, absolute quadric)
- SVC should rely on geometric properties of the imaged objects
- Those properties should be supplied via user interaction

## **Previous Work**

Start

Introduction

- Single View Calibration
- Single View Calibration (cont'd)
- Previous Work
- What this work is about

Background

### Calibration Refinement

Implementation

Experimental Results

Summary

- A pair of vanishing points corresponding to orthogonal directions provides one calibration constraint [Caprile and Torre 1990]
- Dual of the above: A pair of vanishing lines corresponding to orthogonal planes provides one calibration constraint
- A metric planar homography provides two calibration constraints [Liebowitz and Zisserman 1998]
- Multiple calibration constraints can be combined in a least squares manner [Liebowitz and Zisserman 1999]
- Calibration constraints can also arise from surfaces of revolution [Colombo et al 2005]

## What this work is about

- The applicability of SVC methods depends on a minimum number of calibration constraints being available
- This boils down to certain geometric arrangements occurring in the imaged scene
- Such arrangements are not guaranteed to always be present, thus an insufficient number of constraints might be available
- Insufficient constraints force the use of approximate, simplified camera models
- This work concerns a method for refining an initial calibration estimate (possibly obtained using a simplified model) with the aid of a priori known metric measurements

### Introduction

- Single View Calibration
- Single View Calibration (cont'd)
- Previous Work
- What this work is about

### Background

```
Calibration Refinement
```

Implementation

### **Experimental Results**

_					
S	u	m	m	а	r١

# **Single View Geometry**

• Camera calibration is specified by matrix K having 5 DOFs:

Start

Introduction

Background

- Single View Geometry
- Single View Geometry (cont'd)
- Metric Measurements Using the IAC

Calibration Refinement

Implementation

**Experimental Results** 

Summary

End

$$\mathbf{K} = \left[ egin{array}{cccc} f_u & s & u_0 \ 0 & f_v & v_0 \ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} 
ight],$$

where  $f_u$ ,  $f_v$  are the focal length in horizontal/vertical pixels,  $(u_0, v_0)$  the image principal point and s relates to the image axes angle. Usually cameras are *natural*: aspect ratio  $r \equiv \frac{f_v}{f_u} = 1, s = 0$ 

- Calibration is performed with the aid of the *image of the absolute conic* (IAC)
- The IAC is the image of an imaginary conic that depends only on the intrinsic parameters and not on camera pose
- A point x on the IAC satisfies  $\mathbf{x}^T \omega \mathbf{x} = 0$ , where  $\omega$  is a symmetric matrix  $\omega$  defined as  $\omega = (\mathbf{K}\mathbf{K}^T)^{-1}$

# Single View Geometry (cont'd)

Start

Introduction

Background

- Single View GeometrySingle View Geometry
- Single view Geometry (cont'd)
- Metric Measurements Using the IAC

Calibration Refinement

Implementation

Experimental Results

Summary

- Calibration through estimating the IAC is convenient since SVC constraints are linear in the elements of  $\omega$
- Given  $\omega$ , K can be computed from its Cholesky decomposition
- The *vanishing point* (VP) of a 3D line is the point onto which infinitely far line points are imaged
- $\omega$  allows certain direct 3D measurements on images
- The VPs of non-parallel coplanar 3D lines lie on the same vanishing line



# **Metric Measurements Using the IAC**

Start

Introduction

- Background
- Single View Geometry
- Single View Geometry (cont'd)
- Metric Measurements Using the IAC

Calibration Refinement

Implementation

**Experimental Results** 

Summary

End

• If  $\mathbf{v}_1$  and  $\mathbf{v}_2$  are the vanishing points of two 3D lines, the acute angle  $\theta$  between them can be computed from  $\cos(\theta) = \frac{|S(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2)|}{\sqrt{S(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_1) S(\mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_2)}}$ 

• Let  $S(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$  denote the scalar  $\mathbf{a}^T \, \omega \, \mathbf{b}$  for vectors  $\mathbf{a}$  and  $\mathbf{b}$ 

• Using the law of sines, the length ratio of four non collinear points A, B, C and D equals

$$\frac{AB}{CD} = \sqrt{\frac{S(\mathbf{v}_{ab}, \mathbf{v}_{ab})}{S(\mathbf{v}_{cd}, \mathbf{v}_{cd})}} \cdot \frac{S(\mathbf{v}_{ac}, \mathbf{v}_{ac}) S(\mathbf{v}_{bc}, \mathbf{v}_{bc}) - S(\mathbf{v}_{ac}, \mathbf{v}_{bc})^2}{S(\mathbf{v}_{ab}, \mathbf{v}_{ab}) S(\mathbf{v}_{ac}, \mathbf{v}_{ac}) - S(\mathbf{v}_{ab}, \mathbf{v}_{ac})^2} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{S(\mathbf{v}_{bd}, \mathbf{v}_{bd}) S(\mathbf{v}_{cd}, \mathbf{v}_{cd}) - S(\mathbf{v}_{bd}, \mathbf{v}_{cd})^2}{S(\mathbf{v}_{bc}, \mathbf{v}_{bc}) S(\mathbf{v}_{bd}, \mathbf{v}_{bd}) - S(\mathbf{v}_{bc}, \mathbf{v}_{bd})^2}},$$

where  $\mathbf{v}_{ab}$ ,  $\mathbf{v}_{ac}$ ,  $\mathbf{v}_{bc}$ ,  $\mathbf{v}_{bd}$ , and  $\mathbf{v}_{cd}$  are the VPs of segments AB, AC, BC, BD and CD

# **Refining an Intrinsic Calibration Estimate**

Start

Introduction

### Background

Calibration Refinement

- Refining an Intrinsic Calibration Estimate
- Refining an Intrinsic Calibration Estimate (cont'd)
- Refining an Intrinsic Calibration Estimate (cont'd)
- Refining an Intrinsic Calibration Estimate (cont'd)

Implementation

**Experimental Results** 

Summary

- Known angles and length ratios impose high-order polynomial constraints on  $\omega$
- Such constraints are not usable for directly obtaining  $\omega$  due to the problems associated with solving nonlinear polynomial equations
- Given an initial calibration estimate, known metric properties can be used for improving it. The idea is to refine the estimated ω in a nonlinear optimization framework so that metric measurements made with it are in close agreement with those a priori known

# **Refining an Intrinsic Calibration Estimate**

(cont'd)
<ul> <li>Let A be a set of line segment pairs with known 3D angles</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Let E be a set of line segment quadruples defining two unknown but equal 3D angles</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Let R be a set of line segment pairs with known 3D length ratios</li> </ul>
• Let $\alpha(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{r}_i; \omega)$ be the estimated cosine of the angle between segments $(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{r}_i) \in A$ with a priori known angle $\phi_i$
• Let $\rho(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{r}_i; \omega)$ be the estimated length ratio for segments $(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{r}_i) \in R$ with a priori known ratio $\lambda_i$

 $\phi_i$ 

# Refining an Intrinsic Calibration Estimate (cont'd)

•  $\alpha(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{r}_i; \omega) - \cos(\phi_i)$ : cosine difference error,  $(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{r}_i) \in \mathsf{A}$ 

•  $\alpha(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{r}_i; \omega) - \alpha(\mathbf{p}_i, \mathbf{q}_i; \omega)$ : cosine estimates difference error,  $(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{p}_i, \mathbf{q}_i) \in \mathsf{E}$ 

- $\rho(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{r}_i; \omega) \lambda_i$ : length ratio difference error,  $(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{r}_i) \in \mathsf{R}$
- A cumulative error term  $\epsilon(A, E, R; \omega)$  is defined by summing the squares of all cosine & length difference errors:

$$\epsilon(\mathsf{A},\mathsf{E},\mathsf{R};\omega) = \sum_{(\mathbf{s}_i,\mathbf{r}_i)\in\mathsf{A}} \left[\alpha(\mathbf{s}_i,\mathbf{r}_i;\omega) - \cos(\phi_i)\right]^2 + \sum_{(\mathbf{s}_i,\mathbf{r}_i,\mathbf{p}_i,\mathbf{q}_i)\in\mathsf{E}} \left[\alpha(\mathbf{s}_i,\mathbf{r}_i;\omega) - \alpha(\mathbf{p}_i,\mathbf{q}_i;\omega)\right]^2 + \sum_{(\mathbf{s}_i,\mathbf{r}_i,\mathbf{p}_i,\mathbf{q}_i)\in\mathsf{E}} \left[(\rho(\mathbf{s}_i,\mathbf{r}_i;\omega) - \lambda_i\right]^2$$

 $(\mathbf{s}_i,\mathbf{r}_i)\in\mathsf{R}$ 

Start

Introduction

Background

### Calibration Refinement

- Refining an Intrinsic Calibration Estimate
- Refining an Intrinsic Calibration Estimate (cont'd)
- Refining an Intrinsic Calibration Estimate (cont'd)
- Refining an Intrinsic Calibration Estimate (cont'd)

Implementation

Experimental Results

Summary

End

Lourakis & Argyros

# Refining an Intrinsic Calibration Estimate (cont'd)

-	Cto	
- •	Sta	П
_		

Introduction

Background

Calibration Refinement

- Refining an Intrinsic Calibration Estimate
- Refining an Intrinsic Calibration Estimate (cont'd)
- Refining an Intrinsic Calibration Estimate (cont'd)
- Refining an Intrinsic Calibration Estimate (cont'd)

Implementation

Experimental Results

Summary

- Not all line segments involved in the definition of  $\epsilon(A, E, R; \omega)$  should be coplanar
- An arbitrary number of constraints can be accommodated
- The error term can be iteratively minimized over  $\omega$  using a nonlinear least squares algorithm, e.g. Levenberg Marquardt
- The starting point for the numerical minimization is the initial estimate of  $\boldsymbol{\omega}$
- Details on the parametrization of  $\omega$  are in the paper; 3 or 4 parameters suffice

# **Implementation Details**

• Start	<ul> <li>Line segments were defined manually</li> </ul>
Introduction Background Calibration Refinement	<ul> <li>ML estimates of the VPs were estimated from groups of parallel line segments</li> </ul>
Implementation <ul> <li>Implementation Details</li> </ul> Experimental Results	<ul> <li>Initial calibration estimates were obtained from orthogonal VPs &amp; metric rectification homographies</li> </ul>
_Summary _End	<ul> <li>Minimization of the error term was achieved by our free levmar library (http://www.ics.forth.gr/~lourakis/levmar)</li> </ul>

• Objective function Jacobian was computed analytically with symbolic differentiation in MAPLE

# **Experiments: Calibration Grid**

Start

Introduction

Background

Calibration Refinement

Implementation

### **Experimental Results**

- Experiments: Calibration Grid
- Experiments: Valbonne Church
- Experiments: Oxford Basement

Summary

End





Image of a calibration object with the lines employed to detect orthogonal vanishing points (L) and line segments forming equal angles and known length ratios used for refining calibration (R)

	$\overline{Ground\ truth}$				Initi	al estimo	ate	Ref	$ined \ estim$	nate
ſ	1565.7	01565.5	800.9 $642.44$	]	$\begin{bmatrix} 1552.0\\0 \end{bmatrix}$	01552.0	816 612	$\begin{array}{c}1553.52\\0\end{array}$	01553.52	805.293 638.787
L	0	0	1		0	0	1	0	0	1

## **Experiments: Valbonne Church**

Start

Introduction

Background

Calibration Refinement

Implementation

### **Experimental Results**

- Experiments: Calibration Grid
- Experiments: Valbonne Church
- Experiments: Oxford Basement

### Summary

End





A frame from the Valbonne church sequence with the lines employed to detect orthogonal vanishing points (L) and line segments defining known scene properties that are used for calibration refinement (R)

$Ground \ truth$				$Initial\ estimate$			$Refined\ estimate$				
682.84	0	$256^{-1}$	]	529.6	0	$256^{-1}$	] [	672.0	0	256 -	
0	682.84	384		0	529.6	384		0	672.0	384	
0	0	1		0	0	1		0	0	1	

Lourakis & Argyros

## **Experiments: Oxford Basement**

	C+	ort
•	υu	αιι

Introduction

Background

Calibration Refinement

Implementation

### **Experimental Results**

- Experiments: Calibration Grid
- Experiments: Valbonne Church

 Experiments: Oxford Basement

End





An image from the "basement" sequence with the lines employed to detect orthogonal vanishing points (L) and the line segments of equal lengths that were used for refining single view calibration (R)

$Ground\ truth$				$Initial\ estimate$				$Refined\ estimate$			
496	.9 0	273.5	1	312.1	0.0	256.0	1 F	527.5	0	254.8	
0	496.	9 280.0		0.0	312.1	256.0		0	527.5	303.7	
0	0	1		0.0	0.0	1.0		0	0	1	

# Conclusions

Start

	l m t n	o du	· ofi	00
		C)(II	юл	CH L
1		~~~		••••

Background

Calibration Refinement

Implementation

### **Experimental Results**

Summary

Conclusions

- Presented a new nonlinear camera calibration refinement technique
- This technique exploits a priori knowledge of metric scene properties such as line segment angles and length ratios
- Has been experimentally demonstrated to significantly improve the accuracy of initial intrinsic calibration estimates
- Has little computational overhead

Start

Introduction

Background

Calibration Refinement

\_

Implementation

**Experimental Results** 

Summary

End

# Any questions?

T