Rotary oscillations of a micropolar fluid sphere in a bounded medium
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Abstract

The present study examines the axisymmetric rotary oscillation of a micropolar fluid sphere in concentric spherical cavity filled with Newtonian viscous fluid. A continuity of velocity components and stress together with the spin vorticity relation are used at the interface between fluid-fluid regions. The torque exerted on the micropolar fluid sphere is obtained analytically and the real and imaginary torque coefficients are presented graphically. The effect of the micropolarity parameter, viscosity ratio and spin parameter on the torque are studied numerically. In the limiting cases, the torque acting on the rotating micropolar fluid sphere and solid sphere in concentric spherical cavity are obtained from the present analysis.
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1. Introduction

The area of research concerning the oscillatory Stokes flows has continued to receive much attention from investigators due to its numerous applications both in engineering and science. These problems include the Brownian motion, ultra-filtration, biomechanics of blood flow and other biological or chemical phenomena [24]. The oscillating cylindrical disk viscometer which is used in measurement of fluid viscosity is the most prominent application of rotary oscillations of axisymmetric bodies [24].

The classical Navier-Stokes theory has been proved to be inadequate to describe the behavior of fluids with microstructure such as animal blood, body fluids, liquid crystals and lubricating oils etc. In the past few years there has been increasing interest in developing theories that can accurately describe the behavior of such fluids. The theory of micropolar fluids introduced by Eringen [6, 7] is one of the best theories of fluids to describe the structured fluids. These fluids consist of rigid particles which can rotate with their own spins and microrotations. Micropolar fluids exhibit microscopic effects and can sustain couple stresses. There are two vectors in the micropolar fluid theory which describe the motion of the fluid: the usual velocity vector and the microrotation or spin vector. The applications of these fluids are in blood flow, lubrication problem, colloidal suspensions, liquid crystals, occurrence of turbulence, polymeric additives etc. The review article by Ariman et al. [3] and the book written by Lukaszewicz [15] provide a useful account of the applications and theory of micropolar fluids.

The oscillatory Stokes flow problem has been studied analytically and numerically by various researchers. The first study undertaken of an oscillatory flow problem was done by Stokes [22]. The author has studied the flow due to the longitudinal oscillations of a sphere
in an incompressible viscous fluid. Kanwal [10] used the Stokes stream function to study the rotatory and longitudinal oscillations of various axisymmetric bodies like a sphere, a circular disk, an infinite circular cylinder, an oblate spheroid and a prolate spheroid. Lamb [14] has studied the rotatory oscillations of a sphere bounded by another concentric sphere. Tekasakul et al. [24] investigated the problem of rotatory oscillation of axisymmetric body in viscous fluid numerically for no-slip boundary condition. They evaluated the torque acting on the body. Tekasakul and Loyalka [23] extended the work of Tekasakul et al. [24] into the slip regime. They studied the effect of slip on the torque exerted on the oscillating spheres and spheroids and found that slip reduces the torque in all cases.

Lakshmana Rao and Iyengar [13] examined the rotary oscillation of a spheroid in an incompressible micropolar fluid. The rectilinear and rotary oscillation of a sphere along a diameter in micropolar fluid was studied by Lakshmana Rao and Bhujanga Rao [12]. Srinivasacharya and Iyengar [20] studied the problem of rotary oscillations of an approximate sphere in a micropolar fluid. Iyengar and Vani [9] investigated the rotary oscillatory flow of an incompressible micropolar fluid confined between two concentric spheres. They evaluated the analytical expressions for velocity and microrotation components and the couple exerted on the outer and inner spheres. The problem of an incompressible micropolar fluid flow generated by the rotary oscillations of a permeable sphere was investigated by Aparna and Murthy [2]. The axisymmetric rectilinear and rotary oscillations of a spheroidal particle in an incompressible micropolar fluid were studied by Sherief et al. [19]. They evaluated the drag and couple for prolate and oblate spheroids. Recently, the problem of the rotary oscillation of a composite sphere consisting of an impermeable sphere enclosed by a porous shell in an incompressible Newtonian fluid bounded by a concentric spherical cavity was investigated by Ashmawy [4] using the stress jump condition and the slip boundary condition.

The study of oscillations of solid bodies in an axisymmetric incompressible viscous or micropolar fluids have been attempted by many authors and the value of the couple has been evaluated. This couple is needed in designing and calibration of viscometers. Also, a sound knowledge of the movement of a single liquid drop in another immiscible liquid is required for many natural, industrial and biological processes. And there is no previous work dealing with the flow generated by the rotary oscillation of a micropolar fluid sphere in concentric spherical container containing Newtonian viscous fluid. This motivated us to investigate the present problem. The results of this study are useful in measurement of fluid viscosity and this type of studies is important in the case where oscillation occurs inside another axisymmetric body.

In this paper, we study the flow generated by the oscillatory rotational motion of a micropolar fluid sphere in Newtonian fluid bounded by concentric spherical container. The boundary conditions used at the liquid-liquid interface are the continuity of velocity components, the continuity of stress components and the spin vorticity relation. An analytic expression for the torque exerted on the micropolar fluid sphere has been obtained and its variation with various fluid parameters is studied.

2. Formulation of the problem

Consider the oscillatory rotational motion of an incompressible micropolar fluid sphere of radius \( a \) in an incompressible Newtonian fluid bounded by concentric spherical container of radius \( b \) (see Fig. 1). It is assumed that the micropolar fluid sphere is rotating about \( z \)-axis with angular velocity \( \Omega e^{i\omega t} \), \( i = \sqrt{-1} \), where \( \Omega \) is a constant and \( \omega \) is the frequency of oscillation.
The region outside and inside the micropolar fluid sphere are denoted by regions I and II, respectively. The equations of motion governing the flow in region I are

\[ \nabla \cdot \vec{q}^{(1)} = 0, \quad (1) \]
\[ \nabla p^{(1)} + \mu_1 \nabla \times \nabla \times \vec{q}^{(1)} = -\rho \frac{\partial \vec{q}^{(1)}}{\partial t}, \quad (2) \]

where \( \vec{q}^{(1)} \) is the velocity, \( p^{(1)} \) is the pressure, \( \mu_1 \) is the coefficient of viscosity and \( \rho \) is the fluid density.

The equations governing the unsteady flow of an incompressible micropolar fluid with the absence of body force and body couple under the Stokesian assumption in region II are given by

\[ \nabla \cdot \vec{q}^{(2)} = 0, \quad (3) \]
\[ \nabla p^{(2)} + (\mu_2 + \kappa) \nabla \times \nabla \times \vec{q}^{(2)} - \kappa \nabla \times \vec{v} = -\rho \frac{\partial \vec{q}^{(2)}}{\partial t}, \quad (4) \]
\[ \kappa \nabla \times \vec{q}^{(2)} - 2\kappa \vec{v} - \gamma_0 \nabla \times \nabla \times \vec{v} + (\alpha_0 + \beta_0 + \gamma_0) \nabla \nabla \cdot \vec{v} = \rho j \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial t}, \quad (5) \]

where \( \vec{q}^{(2)} \), \( \vec{v} \), \( p^{(2)} \) and \( \rho \) are velocity vector, microrotation vector, pressure and density of fluid, \( \mu_2 \) is the viscosity coefficient of the classical viscous fluid and \( \kappa, \alpha_0, \beta_0 \) and \( \gamma_0 \) are the viscosity coefficients for the micropolar fluids. The new viscosity coefficient \( \kappa \) is called as micropolarity parameter. This allows us to measure the deviation of flows of micropolar fluids from that of the Navier-Stokes model. Also when this viscosity coefficient becomes zero, the conservation law of the linear momentum becomes independent of the presence of the microstructure.

The constitutive equations for the stress tensor \( t_{ij} \) and the couple stress tensor \( m_{ij} \) are given as

\[ t_{ij} = -p\delta_{ij} + \mu_2(q_{i,j} + q_{j,i}) + \kappa(q_{j,i} - \epsilon_{ijm}\nu_m), \quad (6) \]
\[ m_{ij} = \alpha_0 \nu_{m,m}\delta_{ij} + \beta_0 \nu_{i,j} + \gamma_0 \nu_{j,i}, \quad (7) \]
where the comma denotes the partial differentiation, $\delta_{ij}$ and $\epsilon_{ijm}$ are the Kronecker delta and the alternating tensor.

Let $(r, \theta, \phi)$ denote a spherical polar co-ordinate system with origin at the center of a micropolar fluid sphere $r = a$. The motion is axially symmetric about $z$-axis, thus all the quantities are independent of $\phi$. We then choose the velocity vectors and pressures in both the regions and microrotation vector in region II as

$$
\vec{q}^{(i)} = q^{(i)}_\phi (r, \theta) e^{i \omega t} \vec{e}_\phi, \quad i = 1, 2, 
$$

$$
\vec{v} = \nu_r (r, \theta) e^{i \omega t} \vec{e}_r + \nu_\theta (r, \theta) e^{i \omega t} \vec{e}_\theta, 
$$

$$
p^{(i)} = p^{(i)} e^{i \omega t}, \quad i = 1, 2.
$$

Assume that $\nabla \cdot \vec{v} = g(r, \theta) e^{i \omega t}$ and $\nabla \times \vec{v} = h(r, \theta) e^{i \omega t} \vec{e}_\phi$.

Therefore, the field equations in this case reduce to:

For the viscous fluid region $a \leq r \leq b$,

$$
\frac{\partial p^{(1)}}{\partial r} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial p^{(1)}}{\partial \theta} = 0, 
$$

$$
(L - l^2) q^{(1)}_\phi = 0, 
$$

where

$$
l^2 = \frac{i \rho \omega a^2}{\mu_1},
$$

and for the micropolar fluid region $r \leq a$,

$$
\frac{\partial p^{(2)}}{\partial r} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial p^{(2)}}{\partial \theta} = 0, 
$$

$$
(L - \frac{i \rho \omega}{\mu_2 + \kappa}) q^{(2)}_\phi = -\frac{\kappa}{\mu_2 + \kappa} h(r, \theta), 
$$

$$
\nu_r = \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial g}{\partial r} - \frac{\gamma_0}{2 \kappa + i j \rho \omega} \frac{\partial h}{\partial \theta} + h \cot \theta + \frac{\gamma_0}{2 \kappa + i j \rho \omega} \frac{\partial q^{(2)}_\phi}{\partial \theta} + q^{(2)}_\phi \cot \theta, 
$$

$$
\nu_\theta = \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\gamma_0}{2 \kappa + i j \rho \omega} \frac{\partial h}{\partial r} + \frac{h}{r} - \frac{\kappa}{2 \kappa + i j \rho \omega} \left( \frac{\partial q^{(2)}_\phi}{\partial r} + \frac{q^{(2)}_\phi}{r} \right). 
$$

From (15) and (16), we have

$$
(\nabla^2 - c^2) g = 0.
$$

Also

$$
(L - \frac{2 \kappa + i j \rho \omega}{\gamma_0}) h = \frac{\kappa}{\gamma_0} L q^{(2)}_\phi,
$$

where

$$
c^2 = \frac{(2 \kappa + i j \rho \omega) a^2}{\alpha_0 + \beta_0 + \gamma_0},
$$

$$
L = \nabla^2 - \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta}.
$$

$$
\nabla^2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} + \cot \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}.
$$
Using (14) and (18) we have

\[ \left[ L^2 - \left( \frac{\kappa(2\mu_2 + \kappa) + i\rho \omega(\gamma_0 + j\mu_2 + j\kappa)}{\gamma_0(\mu_2 + \kappa)} \right) L + \frac{\rho \omega(2\kappa i - j\rho \omega)}{\gamma_0(\mu_2 + \kappa)} \right] q^{(2)}_\phi = 0. \]  

This equation can be rewritten as

\[ (L - m^2)(L - n^2)q^{(2)}_\phi = 0, \]  

where

\[ m^2 + n^2 = \frac{(\kappa(2\mu_2 + \kappa) + i\rho \omega(\gamma_0 + j\mu_2 + j\kappa))a^2}{\gamma_0(\mu_2 + \kappa)} \]

and

\[ m^2 n^2 = \frac{\rho \omega(2\kappa i - j\rho \omega)a^4}{\gamma_0(\mu_2 + \kappa)}. \]

3. Boundary conditions

To obtain the complete solution of the problem, we assume the continuity of velocity components. Also we assume as in the classical case [8], that the equilibrium theory of interfacial tension is applicable to our problem. This means that the presence of interfacial tension only produces a discontinuity in the normal stresses and does not in any way affect the tangential stresses. The latter is therefore continuous across the surface of the fluid sphere. Hence, continuity of tangential stresses is applied at the interface. There is no general agreement for the microrotation boundary condition in the literature. Migun [17] investigated various types of boundary conditions for microrotation. Aero et al. [1] proposed a dynamic boundary condition for microrotation which states that the microrotation is proportional to the couple stress at the boundary. Condiff and Dahler [5] suggested a spin vorticity kinematic boundary condition which states that the microrotation is proportional to the vorticity. In the present study we apply the spin vorticity relationship at the boundary. These conditions are physically realistic and mathematically consistent [5, 8, 9, 16].

The boundary conditions on the surface \( r = a \) are

i. Continuity of velocity components

\[ q^{(1)}_\phi = q^{(2)}_\phi. \]  

ii. Continuity of tangential stress components

\[ t^{(1)}_{r\phi} = t^{(2)}_{r\phi}. \]

iii. Spin vorticity relation

\[ \nu_r = \frac{s}{2r} \left[ \frac{\partial q^{(1)}_\phi}{\partial \theta} + q^{(1)}_\phi \cot \theta \right], \]  

\[ \nu_\theta = -\frac{s}{2} \left[ \frac{\partial q^{(1)}_\phi}{\partial r} + \frac{q^{(1)}_\phi}{r} \right] \]
where \( s \) is a spin parameter that varies from 0 to 1. The value \( s = 0 \) (no spin) corresponds to the case where microelements close to a solid boundary are unable to rotate, whereas the value \( s = 1 \) corresponds to the case where the microrotation is equal to the fluid vorticity at the boundary. This parameter is assumed to depend only on the nature of the fluids.

The boundary condition on the surface \( r = b \) is

\[
q_\phi^{(1)} = -\Omega r \sin \theta. \tag{25}
\]

4. Solution of the problem

Using the method of separation of variables, the solution of (12) and (17) are given respectively

\[
q_\phi^{(1)} = \left[ r^{-1/2} K_{3/2}(lr)c_1 + r^{-1/2} I_{3/2}(lr)d_1 \right] \sin \theta, \tag{26}
\]

\[
g(r, \theta) = r^{-1/2} I_{3/2}(cr)e_1 \cos \theta. \tag{27}
\]

The solution of (20) is obtained by superposing the solutions of

\[
(L - m^2)q_\phi^{(2)} = 0, \tag{28}
\]

\[
(L - n^2)q_\phi^{(2)} = 0 \tag{29}
\]

and again using the method of separation of variables, we get

\[
q_\phi^{(2)} = \left[ r^{-1/2} I_{3/2}(mr)a_1 + r^{-1/2} I_{3/2}(nr)b_1 \right] \sin \theta, \tag{30}
\]

where \( a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1 \) and \( e_1 \) are arbitrary constants to be evaluated by applying the boundary conditions (21)–(25). Using (14) and (30) we get

\[
h(r, \theta) = - \left[ a_1 r^{-1/2} \left( \frac{m^2(\mu + \kappa) - i\rho \omega}{\kappa} \right) I_{3/2}(mr) + b_1 r^{-1/2} \left( \frac{n^2(\mu + \kappa) - i\rho \omega}{\kappa} \right) I_{3/2}(nr) \right] \sin \theta. \tag{31}
\]

Thus, using the expressions for \( g, h \) and \( q_\phi^{(2)} \) in (15) and (16), the expressions for \( \nu_r \) and \( \nu_\theta \) are obtained as

\[
\nu_r = \left[ -\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{1}{r^{3/2}} \left( 2 I_{3/2}(cr) - cr I_{1/2}(cr) \right) e_1 + \frac{2}{r^{3/2}} \left( a_1 I_{3/2}(mr)A_m + b_1 I_{3/2}(nr)A_n \right) \right] \cos \theta, \tag{32}
\]

\[
\nu_\theta = \left[ -\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{1}{r^{3/2}} I_{3/2}(cr)e_1 + \frac{1}{r^{3/2}} a_1 A_m \left( I_{3/2}(mr) - mr I_{1/2}(mr) \right) + \frac{1}{r^{3/2}} b_1 A_n \left( I_{3/2}(nr) - nr I_{1/2}(nr) \right) \right] \sin \theta, \tag{33}
\]

where

\[
A_m = \frac{\gamma_0 m^2(\mu + \kappa) + \kappa^2 - \gamma_0 i\rho \omega}{\kappa(2\kappa + ij\rho \omega)}
\]

and

\[
A_n = \frac{\gamma_0 n^2(\mu + \kappa) + \kappa^2 - \gamma_0 i\rho \omega}{\kappa(2\kappa + ij\rho \omega)}.
\]
5. Torque on the body

The torque exerted by the Newtonian fluid on micropolar fluid sphere is given by

$$T = 2\pi a^3 \int_0^\pi t_{r\phi}^{(1)} |_{r=1} \sin^2 \theta \, d\theta,$$

(34)

where the shear stress of the Newtonian fluid (region I) is given by

$$t_{r\phi}^{(1)} = \mu_1 \left[ \frac{\partial q_\phi^{(1)}}{\partial r} - \frac{q_\phi^{(1)}}{r} \right] e^{i\omega t}.$$

(35)

After some calculations, (34) reduces to

$$T = \frac{8}{3} \pi \mu_1 \Omega a^3 (-K' - iK) e^{i\omega t} =$$

$$-\frac{8}{3} \pi \mu_1 \Omega a^3 \left[ c_1 (3K_{3/2}(l) + lK_{1/2}(l)) + d_1 (3I_{3/2}(l) - lI_{1/2}(l)) \right] e^{i\omega t},$$

(36)

where $K'$ and $K$ are the real and imaginary torque coefficients, respectively. Thus, the real and imaginary parts of the torque are, respectively, given by

$$\Re T = \frac{8}{3} \pi \mu_1 \Omega a^3 (K \sin \omega t - K' \cos \omega t), \quad \Im T = -\frac{8}{3} \pi \mu_1 \Omega a^3 (K \cos \omega t + K' \sin \omega t).$$

(37)

5.1. Special cases

i. The case of slow steady rotation of a micropolar fluid sphere is obtained from the above analysis by allowing the period of oscillation $2\pi/\omega$ tend to infinity. Using

$$\lim_{\omega \to 0} (m^2 + n^2) = m_1^2, \quad \lim_{\omega \to 0} (m^2 n^2) = 0,$$

(38)

where

$$m_1^2 = \frac{\kappa(2\mu_2 + \kappa)a^2}{\gamma_0(\mu_2 + \kappa)}.$$

So we take $m = m_1, n = 0$ and $l = 0$. We get

$$T = -8\pi \mu_1 \Omega a^3 \chi (1-s) \Delta_1/\Delta_2,$$

(39)

where

$$\Delta_1 = (3(3 + 2\chi)I_{3/2}(m) - m(1 + \chi)I_{1/2}(m)) I_{3/2}(c) - c(2 + \chi)I_{3/2}(m)I_{1/2}(c),$$

$$\Delta_2 = I_{3/2}(c) \left( 2l(1 + \chi)I_{1/2}(l)(3\lambda(2 + \chi) - \chi(1 + \eta^2)(1-s)) + 3I_{3/2}(l) \chi \right) \left( 4\chi + 6 - s\chi - 3\lambda_1(2 + \chi) - 2\eta^2(1-s)(3 + 2\chi) \right) + c(2 + \chi)I_{1/2}(c) \left( -3\lambda I_{1/2}(l)(1 + \chi) + I_{3/2}(l)(\lambda(3 + 6\chi) - (s + 2 - 2\eta^2(1-s))\chi) \right),$$

$$m^2 = \frac{\kappa(2\mu_2 + \kappa)a^2}{\gamma_0(\mu_2 + \kappa)}, \quad c^2 = \frac{2\kappa a^2}{\alpha_0 + \beta_0 + \gamma_0}, \quad \chi = \frac{\kappa}{\mu_2},$$

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{2\sigma}{2 + \chi}, \quad \sigma = \frac{\mu_1}{\mu_2}, \quad \eta = \frac{a}{b}.$$

This result agrees with the result previously obtained by Madasu and Gurdatta [16].
ii. When $s \to 0$ and $\sigma \to 0$, the expression for the torque in case of rotary oscillation of a solid sphere in a concentric spherical cavity of viscous fluid is obtained as

$$T = \frac{8\pi \mu_1 a^3 \Omega \left( I_{3/2}(l) K_{1/2}(l) + I_{1/2}(l) K_{3/2}(l) \right) l \eta^{-3/2}}{3 \left( I_{3/2}(l) K_{3/2}(l \eta^{-1}) - I_{3/2}(l \eta^{-1}) K_{3/2}(l) \right)} e^{i\omega t}. \tag{42}$$

This agrees with the result obtained by Ashmawy [4] in case of no slip condition.

iii. When $s \to 0$ and $\chi \to 0$, the expression for the torque in case of rotary oscillation of a viscous fluid sphere in another immiscible viscous fluid is obtained as

$$T = 8\pi \mu_1 a^3 \Omega \left[ \frac{(I_{3/2}(l) K_{1/2}(l) + I_{1/2}(l) K_{3/2}(l)) l \eta^{-3/2}}{\Delta_3} \right] e^{i\omega t}, \tag{43}$$

where

$$\Delta_3 = 3(1 - \lambda) \left( I_{3/2}(l) K_{3/2}(l \eta^{-1}) - I_{3/2}(l \eta^{-1}) K_{3/2}(l) + l \chi \left( I_{1/2}(l) K_{3/2}(l \eta^{-1}) + I_{3/2}(l \eta^{-1}) K_{1/2}(l) \right) \right). \tag{44}$$

iv. When $\omega \to 0$ in (42), the expression for the torque in case of slow steady rotation of a solid sphere in spherical container is obtained as

$$T = -\frac{8\pi \mu_1 a^3 \Omega}{1 - \eta^3}. \tag{45}$$

This agrees with the result previously obtained by Keh and Lu [11], Saad [18], Srinivascarya and Krishna Prasad [21].

6. Results and Discussion

The variations of the torque coefficients $K'$ and $K$ with the ratio of viscosities between internal and external fluid $\sigma$ and frequency parameter $\omega_1 = \rho \omega a^2 / \mu_2$ are shown in Figs. 2–7 for different values of the spin parameter $s$, micropolarity parameter $\chi$ and separation parameter $\eta$. During

![Graphs showing torque coefficients vs. viscosity ratio](image)
Figs. 2 and 3 illustrate the graphical representation of $K'$ and $K$ with viscosity ratio $\sigma$ for different values of $s$ with $\eta = 0.6$ for the case of $\chi = 2$ and $\chi = 5$, respectively. The torque coefficient $K'$ is greater for no spin condition, whereas the torque coefficient $K$ is smaller for no spin condition. It can also be perceived from the figures that the torque coefficient $K'$ reaches a constant value as $\sigma$ tends to infinity. As $\sigma \to 0$, the problem reduces to the rotary oscillation of solid sphere in concentric spherical container.

The influence of micropolarity parameter on the torque coefficients $K'$ and $K$ are shown in Figs. 4 and 5. When the micropolarity parameter $\chi \to 0$, both the fluids (in the container and in the cavity) are Newtonian and the torque acting on the viscous fluid sphere rotating steadily in concentric spherical container is obtained by taking the period of oscillation $2\pi/\omega$ tend to infinity. In this case the torque exerted on the fluid sphere is zero. These figures indicates that the
Fig. 5. Variation of the torque coefficients versus viscosity ratio $\sigma$ for different values of $\chi$ with $\eta = 0.6$ and $s = 0.6$.

Fig. 6. Variation of the torque coefficients versus viscosity ratio $\sigma$ for different values of $\eta$ with $s = 0.6$ and $\chi = 5$.

Fig. 7. Variation of the torque coefficients versus frequency parameter $\omega_1$ for different values of $s$ with $\eta = 0.6$, $\sigma = 2$, and $\chi = 5$. 
torque coefficient $K'$ of the classical viscous fluid is smaller than that of micropolar fluid. Where as the torque coefficient $K$ of the classical viscous fluid is larger than that of micropolar fluid. Also, torque coefficient decreases with increasing viscosity ratio. Fig. 6 depicts the variation of torque coefficients versus viscosity ratio $\sigma$ for different values of the separation parameter $\eta$. It can be seen from the figure that the torque coefficients decreases as viscosity ratio increases and increases with the increase of the separation parameter. Fig. 7 shows the graphical representation of torque coefficients with frequency of the oscillations $\omega_1$. It indicates that the torque coefficients decreases with the increase of the frequency parameter.

7. Conclusions

In this paper, we presented the analytical solution for the rotary oscillations of a micropolar fluid sphere in a concentric spherical cavity containing Newtonian fluid. The explicit expressions of flow fields are determined by applying the boundary conditions at the container and cavity surfaces. An expression for the torque acting on the micropolar fluid sphere is obtained in terms of two real parameters $K$ and $K'$. Some well known results reported in the literature are also found from the present problem in the limiting cases. The torque acting on the rotary oscillating sphere decreases as the viscosity ratio increases. The real torque coefficient increases with increase in micropolarity parameter, but reverse effect is seen for imaginary torque coefficient. The effect of spin parameter on torque is also studied. It is found that the increase in spin parameter results in decrease of real torque coefficient and an increase of imaginary torque coefficient. We also found that the torque coefficient $K'$ of a micropolar fluid is larger than that of classical fluid while the torque coefficient $K$ of a micropolar fluid is smaller than that of classical fluid.

A Appendix

Applying boundary conditions (21)–(25) on (26), (30), (32) and (33) gives the following algebraic system of equations for the determination of the arbitrary constants $a_1$, $b_1$, $c_1$, $d_1$ and $e_1$:

\[
\begin{align*}
[a_1 T_3 + b_1 T_6 - c_1 S_2 - d_1 T_2] P^1_1(\zeta) &= 0, \\
[a_1 (2T_4 - mT_3) + b_1 (2T_6 - nT_5) + a_1 N_2 T_4 + b_1 N_2 T_6 + c^{-2} e_1 N_1 T_8 - a_1 N_1 A_m (T_4 - mT_3) - b_1 N_1 A_n (T_6 - nT_5) - \lambda_c (3S_2 + lS_1) - \lambda d_1 (3T_2 - lT_1)] P^1_1(\zeta) &= 0, \\
[2a_1 A_m T_4 + 2b_1 A_n T_6 + c^{-2} e_1 w_2 - s c_1 S_2 - s d_1 T_2] P^1_1(\zeta) &= 0, \\
[a_1 A_m (T_4 - mT_3) + b_1 A_n (T_6 - nT_5) - c^{-2} e_1 T_8 - \frac{s}{2} c_1 (S_2 + lS_1) - \frac{s}{2} d_1 (T_2 - lT_1)] P^1_1(\zeta) &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]

Solving this algebraic system of equations, we get the expressions for $a_1$, $b_1$, $c_1$, $d_1$ and $e_1$

\[
\begin{align*}
a_1 &= w_1 [cl(2A_n \lambda + s(-2 + A_n N_1 - N_2 + 2A)) T_6 T_7 + ls(4 + 2N_2 - N_1 s - 6\lambda) T_6 T_8 + l(s - A_n N_1 s - 2A_n \lambda)cT_5(cT_7 - 2T_8)] / \Delta, \\
b_1 &= w_1 [cl(2A_m \lambda + s(-2 + A_m N_1 - N_2 + 2A)) T_4 T_7 + ls(4 + 2 - N_2 s - 6\lambda) T_4 T_8 + l(s - A_m N_1 s - 2A_m \lambda)T_3(cT_7 - 2T_8)] / \Delta.
\end{align*}
\]
\[ c_1 = [(4+2\eta s - N_1 s - 6\lambda)w_2v_3T_2 + (-lsn + A_m l(N_1 s + 2\lambda)\eta T_1)T_4T_6w_2 + (lsn - A_n l(N_1 s + 2\lambda)\eta T_1)T_4T_6w_2 + 2mnT_2T_3T_5(A_n - A_m)w_2 - 2cT_2T_7w_4 + csT_2T_7w_5 + c(4 + 2N_2 - N_1 s - 6\lambda)T_2T_7T_4T_6(A_n - A_m) + cl(N_1 s + 2\lambda)T_1T_4T_6(A_m - A_n)] / \Delta, \] (53)

\[ d_1 = [(4+2\eta s - N_1 s - 6\lambda)w_2v_3S_2 + (lsn - A_m l(N_1 s + 2\lambda)\eta T_1)T_4T_6w_2 + (lsn - A_n l(N_1 s + 2\lambda)\eta T_1)T_4T_6w_2 + 2mnS_2T_3T_5(A_n - A_m)w_2 - 2cS_2T_7w_4 + csS_2T_7w_5 - c(4 + 2N_2 - N_1 s - 6\lambda)S_2T_7T_4T_6(A_n - A_m) + cl(N_1 s + 2\lambda)S_1T_7T_6(A_m - A_n)] / \Delta, \] (54)

\[ e_1 = -w_1c^2l[s(4 + 2N_2 - N_1 s - 6\lambda)(A_n - A_m)T_4T_6 + (A_m(2A_n - s)(N_1 s + 2\lambda)m + s(-2A_m + sm))T_3T_6 + (s - A_n N_1 s - 2A_n \lambda)(2A_m - s)nT_4T_5] / \Delta, \] (55)

where

\[ \Delta = \eta^{3/2} [cT_1T_4T_6w_6 + c(4 + 2N_2 - N_1 s - 6\lambda) \times (A_m - A_n)T_4T_6T_7w_7 + slw_2v_3w_6 - l(N_1 s + 2\lambda)w_2v_3w_6 + 2cT_7w_4w_7 - csT_7w_5w_7 - (4 + 2N_2 - N_1 s - 6\lambda)w_2v_3w_7 + 2mn(A_m - A_n)T_3T_5w_2w_7], \] (56)

\[ \lambda = \frac{\sigma}{1 + \chi}, \quad N_1 = \frac{\chi}{1 + \chi}, \quad N_2 = \frac{1}{1 + \chi}, \quad \eta = \frac{a}{b} \]

with \( \sigma = \frac{\mu_1}{\mu_2} \) is the classical ratio of viscosities between the internal and external fluids.

\[ w_1 = T_2S_1 + T_1S_2, \quad w_2 = cT_7 - 2T_8, \]
\[ w_3 = mA_nT_3T_6 - nA_nT_5T_4, \quad w_4 = mA_nT_3T_6 - nA_mT_5T_4, \]
\[ w_5 = mT_3T_6 - nT_5T_4, \quad w_6 = T_9S_1 + T_1S_3, \]
\[ w_7 = T_2S_3 - T_3S_2, \]

\[ S_1 = K_{1/2}(l), \quad S_2 = K_{3/2}(l), \quad S_3 = K_{3/2}(\eta^{-1}), \]
\[ T_1 = I_{1/2}(l), \quad T_2 = I_{3/2}(l), \quad T_3 = I_{1/2}(m), \]
\[ T_4 = I_{3/2}(m), \quad T_5 = I_{1/2}(n), \quad T_6 = I_{3/2}(n), \]
\[ T_7 = I_{1/2}(c), \quad T_8 = I_{3/2}(c), \quad T_9 = I_{3/2}(\eta^{-1}). \]
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