

Graduate Thesis Assessment Rubric
Department of English, Faculty of Education, University of West Bohemia

Thesis Author:	Anna Šimicová
Title:	Development of reading skills in an English class in lower secondary education
Length:	55 pages
Text Length:	52 pages

Assessment Criteria	Scale	Comments
1. Introduction is well written, brief, interesting, and compelling. It motivates the work and provides a clear statement of the problem. It places the problem in context. It presents and overview of the thesis.	Outstanding Very good Acceptable Somewhat deficient Very deficient	The author shows familiarity with the major topics in teaching reading in L2. Se addresses relevant issues associated with her research. On one hand, it is of somewhat value to present a rather broad and general overview of the major topics. On the other hand, the approach doesn't allow for deep examination of the topics (e.g. reading strategies p. 18, materials and texts section). Consequently, the review comes across as a synopsis of general knowledge of the subject matter rather than thorough and informative exploration of selected matters.
2. Literature review is comprehensive and complete. It synthesizes a variety of sources and provides context for the research. It shows the author's understanding of the most relevant literature on the subject matter.	Outstanding Very good Acceptable Somewhat deficient Very deficient	The author successfully outlines her research approach. Unfortunately, I find the research question very broad – focusing on ways of approaching reading in classes involves so many subtopics. Similarly to my comment above, the breadth of the research takes away from its depth. Research focusing on a particular element of issues involved in teaching reading skills (e.g. stages of a reading lesson, types of texts, and types of tasks) would, in my opinion, be more educational.

Final Comments & Questions

5. The thesis shows critical and analytical thinking about the area of study and the author's expertise in this area.	Outstanding Very good Acceptable Somewhat deficient Very deficient	The organization of the thesis doesn't meet the required chapter numbering, divisions and labels. The materials in appendixes are not presented in both in Czech and English.
6. The text is organized in a logical manner. It flows naturally and is easy to follow. Transitions, summaries and conclusions exist as appropriate. The author demonstrates high quality writing skills and uses standard spelling, grammar, and punctuation.	Outstanding Very good Acceptable Somewhat deficient Very deficient	The organization of the thesis doesn't meet the required chapter numbering, divisions and labels. The materials in appendixes are not presented in both in Czech and English.

Supervisor: Mgr. Gabriela Klečková, ph.D.

Date: June 9, 2020

Signature:

4. The results/data are analyzed and interpreted effectively. The chapter ties the theory with the findings. It addresses the applications and	Outstanding Very good Acceptable Somewhat deficient	The presentation of the findings is clear, well organized
--	--	---