Undergraduate Thesis Assessment Rubric

Department of English, Faculty of Education, University of West Bohemia

Thesis Author: ŠAPOVALOVÁ ZUZANA

Title: SHAKESPEAERE ON STAGE AND SCREEN: KING LEAR

Length: 38

Text Length: 38

A	ssessment Criteria	Scale	Comments
2.	 Introduction is well written, brief, interesting, and compelling. It motivates the work and provides a clear statement of the examined issue. It presents and overview of the thesis. 	Scale Outstanding <u>Very good</u> Acceptable Somewhat deficient Very deficient Outstanding Very good	Comments
	matter through the background/review of literature. The author presents information from a variety of quality electronic and print sources. Sources are relevant, balanced and include critical readings relating to the thesis or problem. Primary sources are included (if appropriate).	Acceptable Somewhat deficient Very deficient	
3.	The author carefully analyzed the information collected and drew appropriate and inventive conclusions supported by evidence. Ideas are richly supported with accurate details that develop the main point. The author's voice is evident.	Outstanding Very good <u>Acceptable</u> Somewhat deficient Very deficient	
4.	The thesis displays critical thinking and avoids simplistic description or summary of information.	Outstanding Very good <u>Acceptable</u> Somewhat deficient Very deficient	
5.	Conclusion effectively restates the argument. It summarizes the main findings and follows logically from the analysis presented.	Outstanding <u>Very good</u> Acceptable Somewhat deficient Very deficient	
6.	The text is organized in a logical manner. It flows naturally and is easy to follow. Transitions, summaries and conclusions exist as appropriate. The author uses standard spelling, grammar, and punctuation.	Outstanding Very good <u>Acceptable</u> Somewhat deficient Very deficient	

7.	The language use is precise. The student makes proficient use of language in a way that is appropriate for the discipline and/or genre in which the student is writing.	Outstanding Very good <u>Acceptable</u> Somewhat deficient Very deficient	<u> </u>
8.	The thesis meets the general requirements (formatting, chapters, length, division into sections, etc.). References are cited properly within the text and a complete reference list is provided.	Outstanding Very good <u>Acceptable</u> Somewhat deficient Very deficient	

Final Comments & Questions

The thesis as a whole may not excel in originality, critical insight or linguistic and formal perfection but it should be viewed as a decent product of research on the bachelor level demonstrating the author's genuine effort to cope with a rather complex comparative study. Indeed, a considerable progress was achieved on the way from the initial versions to the final work turned in. It is, in my view, a (very) good work.

.

Supervisor: Magdaléna Potočňáková, Ph.D.

Date: 20. 8. 2012 Signature:

/M/